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**ABSTRACT:** The aim of this article is to share with readers from French, German and Spanish speaking countries, as well as other intellectuals from such countries as Italy and Brazil, the importance of universities in English-speaking countries (the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada and the United States) for the study and research in Labour Relations, and that of Germany regarding Social Policy. Nevertheless, we have detected a scarce number of experts in the History of Labour Relations and the History of Social Policy in both English-speaking countries and Germany. It is precisely this doctrinal gap what has led to the publication of this journal, the *Revista Crítica de Historia de las Relaciones Laborales y de la Política Social*, from which we intend to promote research on the historical dimension (our aim, somewhat flexible, is to publish research which focuses on studies and analysis completed by 1999) of both areas of expertise, Labour Relations and Social Policy. This is not only the objective of the founders of this periodical but also, particularly, mine as its Associate Editor. Significant characters from the origins of both subject areas in Europe and the so-called seven founding fathers of Labour Relations in Spain will appear in the pages below. This article will almost exclusively provide a doctrinal introduction, which will not be either institutional or contract-focused, in the timeframe of the XIXth and first half of the XXth centuries. The reader will find significant characters, among whom the most relevant may be Gustav Schmoller, the founder and first Chairman of the *Verein für Socialpolitik*. The charts included at the end of the article offer an overview of some of the experts in Labour Relations from prestigious universities in Australia, the United States and Canada (Quebec has been added but further attention will be paid to this Canadian province on some other occasion). In the second part of this article, Social Policy university experts charts will be published and doctrinal information will be extended with the study of those authors who helped create this discipline in Austria, Germany, Switzerland, England and Scotland.
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**RESUMEN:** Con el presente artículo tratamos de poner en conocimiento de los lectores del mundo de la francofonía, germanofonía e hispanofonía, y de otros
intelectuales italianos y brasileños, la importancia que las Universidades del mundo
de la anglofonía (Gran Bretaña, Australia, Canadá y Estados Unidos) tienen en el
estudio y la investigación de las Relaciones Laborales, así como Alemania por lo que
se refiere a la Política Social. Notamos, no obstante, una carencia en el mundo de
habla inglesa y en Alemania de expertos en Historia de las Relaciones Laborales y
en Historia de la Política Social. Es precisamente este vacío doctrinal el que ha
motivado la aparición de la presente publicación periódica, la “Revista Crítica de
Historia de las Relaciones Laborales y de la Política Social”, desde la que vamos a
fomentar el cultivo de la dimensión histórica (pretendemos, aunque con elasticidad,
que las investigaciones que aparezcan en la presente sede tengan la fecha terminal
de estudio y análisis el año 1999) de ambas ciencias, las Relaciones Laborales y la
Política Social. Ese es el propósito de los fundadores de la presente publicación y
 también particularmente el mío, como Directora adjunta y responsable de la edición.
Por las páginas de este artículo desfilan personajes significativos de los orígenes de
ambas áreas de conocimiento en Europa y de los denominados con acierto siete
padres fundadores de las Relaciones Laborales en España. Nos limitamos casi
exclusivamente a una presentación doctrinal, no institucional, ni contractual, y el
marco cronológico elegido es el siglo XIX y la primera mitad del XX. No será difícil al
lector ir descubriendo los personajes significativos, quizás el más relevante de todos
ellos Gustav von Schmoller, fundador y primer Presidente del Verein für Socialpolitik.
A través de las tablas que se incluyen al final de este artículo en su versión
electrónica html, el lector podrá tener conocimiento de los cultivadores de las
Relaciones Laborales en algunas selectas Universidades de los Estados Unidos, el
Reino Unido, Australia y Canadá (respecto de esta última también incluimos el
Québec, pero le prestaremos a esta provincia canadiense mayor atención en otro
momento). En la segunda parte de este trabajo [la autora abandonó en 2011 estos
trabajos para dedicarse a otros saberes científicos: Nota de la redacción] publicaríamos las tablas de los cultivadores de la ciencia de la Política Social en el
mundo universitario e igualmente ampliaríamos la información doctrinal con el
estudio de autores que sirvieron para la creación de dicha disciplina en Austria,
Alemania, Suiza, Inglaterra y Escocia.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Relaciones Laborales, Política Social, Gustav Schmoller, Louis
Blanc, Max Weber, Heinrich von Treitschke, Franz Clemens Brentano, Eugenio
Pérez Botija, Manuel Alonso Olea, Émile Durkheim, Viktor Steiner, Gustav von
Rümelin, Rudolf Stammler, Socialistas de cátedra, Historia Social del Trabajo,
Australia, Inglaterra, Estados Unidos de América, University of Melbourne, University
of Queensland, McMaster University, Queen’s University, Université Laval, Yale
University, Harvard University, Grundriss der allgemeinen Volkswirtschaftslehre,
Verein für Socialpolitik.

Labour Relations and, particularly, their history go back three thousand and five
hundred years, when the first evidence of Labour Relations is found in practices such
as slavery, semi-slavery and, then, colonate, day-labourers, which would later give
rise to contracts for services, whose conceptual formulation would be those of *locatio
conductio operis* and *locatio conductio operarum*. This introduction does not intend to
be either institutional or contract-focused but instead it will just provide some
doctrinal references to significant characters in the theory of Labour Relations and
Social Law, in the XIXth and first half of the XXth centuries, when both disciplines
became scientifically accepted.
The History of Labour Relations is becoming especially important from a teaching perspective in Labour Relations and Human Resources graduate programmes while the Social History of Labour is undergoing some scientific crisis when compared to other social subjects related to Labour such as the History of Social Work, the History of Social Protection or the History of Social Policy. The latter has grown in thematic singularity and methodological rigour since the Verein für Socialpolitik was founded.

In the History of Social Law, an unquestionably relevant figure is Louis-Jean-Joseph Blanc (1811-1882), who was born in Madrid and had extremely advanced and progressive ideas, and who was part of the provisional Government after the 1848 French Revolution. He fought against the aristocracy of wealth, the French financial feudalism, because, in spite of the fact that feudalism had been abolished as a result of the 1789 Revolution, in the Republican, then, Monarchical, and, then again, Republican, and Third Empire France, –according to Blanc– an economic plutocracy which oppressed the working class still existed.

Louis Blanc published Organisation du travail in 1839, a book he would revise, correct and enlarge in subsequent editions. He endorsed the Lyon workers’ motto «to live working or to die fighting» as well as highlighted the fact that he had tried to write the book without materialistic worries in mind since, from his viewpoint, misery diminishes man's dignity. He condemned the social order of his time but proposed to change it by improving the organization of labour through the setting up of social workshops. Should freedom of industry be allowed then oppression and anarchism would become thoroughly accepted, thus the State should organize industry. Blanc defended the emancipation of the workers and the creation of a democratic and republican socialist party even before this political party existed in France.

On occasion of the 1848 Revolution, Louis Blanc justified in his pamphlet, Droit au travail, how he had been elected by one hundred and twenty thousand workers to defend the right to work, which was refused by the National Assembly after the February revolution. He argued that the industrial proletariat was a new type of slavery and criticized the 1789 Revolution motto “Liberty, Equality, Fraternity” for having turned the laissez-passer [let happen] into laissez-mourir [let die] unfortunately for the workers. At the end of his response to Louis-Adolphe Thiers (1797-1877), he advocated, once again, the right to work and the right to workers’ social assistance, which enabled men to live in a productive rather than in a humiliating way.

Lastly, Blanc, throughout his ideological and political career (and this issue is not so clear in some of his works), declared himself against the use of violent means to defend and improve the workers’ rights. During the Third Republic, he was elected as
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* Translation and Interpreting Department. University of Malaga. mag@uma.es.
1 We have been consulting Louis Blanc, L’Organisation du travail, Société de l’Industrie Fraternelle, Paris, 1847, 5th ed. The first version of L’Organisation du travail was published as an article in the Revue du Progrès journal. In most versions, its title appears as Organisation du travail.
2 Louis Blanc, L’Organisation du travail, p. 4.
3 Louis Blanc, L’Organisation du travail, pp. 102-103.
4 Louis Blanc, L’Organisation du travail, pp. 145.
8 Louis Blanc, Le Socialisme – Droit au travail, p. 10.
9 Louis Blanc, Le Socialisme – Droit au travail, p. 54.
10 Louis Blanc, Le Socialisme – Droit au travail, p. 56.
a member of parliament several times and became part of the parliamentary minority euphemistically called left-wing.

Émile Durkheim (1858-1917)\(^{11}\), in his *Leçons de sociologie*, dwelt on such an extremely interesting topic as is the distinction between civic morals and professional ethics. In this sense, professional ethics, being a part of the physics of morals and Law, must have some relevance in Labour Relations. This sociologist, who was born in Épinal, defended the moralization of economic life. As it is common knowledge, Durkheim’s PhD thesis was on *La division du travail* and he presented it in 1893. In it, he raised questions regarding the division of labour in simple and complex societies throughout history\(^{12}\).

Max Weber (1864-1920) is the author of some of the pages devoted to Rudolf Stammler and to historical materialism\(^{13}\). This is an important fact since Weber himself had also dedicated some pages to the concept of “social life” in Stammler’s thought, and these ideas have been gathered in the Canadian edition we have been consulting, which is based upon Gustav von Rümelin’s notion of social life (1815-1889), as he considered that «the constitutive moment of social life, seen as a specific object of our understanding, is that of man’s legal regulation»\(^{14}\) or, in Stammler’s own words «social life exists only when it has been regulated»\(^{15}\). This would mean that, according to this Berlin professor, Social Science’s object is social life and «all empirical processes in which legal regulation (Normierung in German), carried out by humans, remains largely understandable, that is to say free from objective contradictions, are part of social life»\(^{16}\).

On the other hand, Max Weber admitted the legitimacy of labour legal relations, since «legal science can pave the way which leads to a modern way of treating the interests of the working class from a legislative and jurisdictional point of view, inasmuch as social life facts and the practical meaning of legal norms, which may be unbiased and without hasty value judgments, are combined»\(^{17}\). Moreover, it has been pointed out how important Max Weber considered the factory as a conflict place in what he called the psychophysics of industrial work, because «within industry, the only force which can be defined as optimum physiological potential is the workforce»\(^{18}\). Then, within macroeconomic magnitudes, «regarding the laws of
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\(^{12}\) Émile Durkheim, *La division du travail sociale*, which is the PhD thesis presented in the Faculty of Arts of the University of Paris, in 1893, and was published by Félix Alcan, Paris, 1893.

\(^{13}\) We have been consulting Max Weber’s *Rudolf Stammler et le matérialisme historique* French translation from the German original version, by Michel Coutu, Dominique Leydet, Guy Rocher and Elke Winter, published by Laval University, Quebec, 2001, pp. 91-183. The book is preceded by an extensive preliminary study (pp. 1-89) by the same four authors who translated it.


economics, the fixing of salaries, working conditions..., it is not appropriate to speak of Gesetzlichkeit but rather of Gesetzmässigkeit\textsuperscript{19}.

Gustav Friedrich von Schmoller (1838-1917), who was the author of an extremely important written output on Social Policy from a historical perspective, is considered a very significant character in this area of expertise.

We have been consulting the translation into French of one the most significant works by this professor in the University of Berlin, which is entitled \textit{Politique sociale et Économie politique (Questions fondamentales)}\textsuperscript{20}, where he also echoed the controversy he had had with another great German member of the Kathedersozialisten\textsuperscript{21} [Socialists of the Chair]. We are referring to Heinrich von Treitschke (1834-1896), an outstanding Social Science historian, who was a professor in Freiburg im Breisgau, Kiel, Heidelberg, and Berlin. Gustav Friedrich von Schmoller is also the author of a book which is devoted to the principles of Political Economy\textsuperscript{22}, whose translation into French comprises five volumes. \textit{Grundriss der allgemeinen Volkswirtschaftslehre} is a unique treaty in its genre and has reached the status of paradigmatic work in the area of Social Economy. This remarkable intellectual of Social Thought was cursed by many, he was even blamed for the imperialistic aggressiveness which led Germany to two world wars, but the truth is that when Schmoller died in Bad Harzbur, on 27\textsuperscript{th} June 1917, not even World War I had ended. That would be unnecessarily and inappropriately venturing the results of implementing his ideas; a different matter, which might admit different interpretations, could be a general assessment of the Historical School of Economics\textsuperscript{23} and the fact that the Verein für Socialpolitik ended up aligned with the Nazis in 1935, even though, in 1936, the Verein seemed to have disappeared, as it will be commented upon below. However, in recent years, contradictory opinions about Schmoller can be read, and some of them bring him back as a key figure in Social Science research\textsuperscript{24}.

Schmoller was in favour of working hours rationalization, thus condemning those restaurants in Berlin which demanded between fifteen and eighteen working hours per day. In 1902, he proposed reducing the weekly working time to eight or ten hours, six days per week\textsuperscript{25}. He also defended the improvement of the moral and intellectual atmosphere of the working class, since «the most dangerous exaggeration of society’s modern reformers is their will to hold society responsible for the morality of the working class»\textsuperscript{26}. Schmoller echoed Constantin Rössler’s assessment of social issues, who considered them to be «as old as society itself; society only changes with every social system, but the intensity of evil seems to

\textsuperscript{19} Michele Basso, “Natura e disciplinamento. Max Weber sul lavoro industriale”, in \textit{Materiali per una storia della cultura giuridica}, XXXIX, No. 1 (2009), p. 140.
\textsuperscript{20} Gustav von Schmoller, \textit{Politique sociale et Économie politique (Questions fondamentales)}, translation from German into French revised by Schmoller himself, Paris, 1902.
\textsuperscript{21} See, in a French-speaking context, the both interesting and classical work on the topic, by Claudio Jannet (1844-1894), \textit{Le socialisme d'État et la réforme sociale}, Editions Plon, Paris, 1889, 544 pp.
\textsuperscript{22} Gustav von Schmoller’s \textit{Grundriss} has the French version \textit{Principes d’Économie politique}, translated from the German original version by G. Platon and Léon Polack, Paris, 1905-1908, 5 vols.
\textsuperscript{24} Pierangelo Schiera and Friedrich Tenbruck, eds., \textit{Gustav Schmoller e il suo tempo. La nascita delle scienze sociali in Germania e in Italia}, Bologna, 1989, which is an initiative by the Italo-German Institute of Trent.
\textsuperscript{26} Gustav von Schmoller, \textit{Politique sociale et Économie politique}, p. 22.
decrease as time goes by. Schmoller ended up noting that the current notion of labour had an underlying note of morality and, therefore, he defined labour as «any conscious and reasonable activity which, as a consequence of a constant effort, tends to result in something which conforms to human aspirations, an activity which, in a sense, becomes its own objective.» All this without forgetting that all individual economic activity had not only a technical dimension but also a moral one.

As for children and women's labour, Smoller considered it to be determined by the technical circumstances of large companies but its actual main determination was linked to each historical moment's morality, decorum and level of civilizing culture. When referring to women's labour on Sundays, Schmoller stated there might be some professions in which it should not be regulated, as was the case of cabaret dancers since they had to offer their services at night, and specially on Saturdays and Sundays, therefore, they had the right to work on Sundays. We assume such a serious and distinguished character as Schmoller was would not make these statements in his own interest.

Schmoller came to the obvious conclusion that free employment contracts were not convenient at the time, that is, generally speaking, Germany and Central Europe during the last two decades of the XIXth century and the first three of the XXth century, i.e. a contract of employment by which «a worker provides labour for a fixed and immediately payable salary, waiving any share in profits and losses» from the company or business.

Schmoller, who was the first Chairman of the Verein für Socialpolitik, pointed out quite clearly that there were differences between the Verein and the German Socialism at the time, as became quite evident in the meeting held in Eisenach (1872). Von Treitschke attacked Schmoller accusing him of being the employer of Socialism, and considering the very Verein as the «employers in German Socialism».

On the other hand, Schmoller had mentioned that Socialist politicians did not use to pay too much attention to the Verein für Socialpolitik's members, but, instead, used to criticize the Verein's opinions, while Heinrich von Treitschke (1834-1896) had highlighted the idea that «the term Kathedersozialisten did not exactly refer to moderate men's principles». Besides, Treitschke had the chance to emphasize the greatness of nations, the colonial expansion and the German anti-Semitic spirit, which, fifty years later, would lead to the Holocaust carried out by the Nazis. The “Gustav-von-Schmoller-Schule” was founded in Schmoller's home town, Heilbronn, and, even though it was named after him, we assume it would not develop all of this outstanding intellectual and professor's ideas.

35 There is ample information about this topic but we recommend the book by Robert Gellately, *Backing Hitler. Consent and Coercion in Nazi Germany*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2001, 359 pp. Gellately used to be a professor in the Strassler Center for Holocaust Studies at Clark University and is currently Earl Ray Beck Professor of History at Florida State University.
The second important intellectual in the first stages of the Verein für Socialpolitik was Franz Clemens Brentano (1838-1917), whose correspondence from 1867 until his death can be consulted in a monumental work which published it on line.

But, what is actually the Verein für Socialpolitik? It was founded in 1873 as a politically neutral, interdisciplinary association whose main aim was to promote Social Policy in the emerging socialist ideas.

It has been argued that it was forced to submit to the German National Socialist Party but the truth is that, in 1936, the association voluntarily disbanded so as not to have to bow to the then ruling political party, and it was refounded in 1948, with the new aim of promoting scientific discussion on economic and social issues (Sociology, Social Anthropology, Social Law, Labour, etc.), and, even, international political and economic relations linked to the development of the different countries.

Thus, nowadays, it is a scientific association mainly made up of German speaking economists but also of legal scholars, sociologists and anthropologists. It has members on five continents even though most of them come from German-speaking countries, such as Germany, Austria and Switzerland (some 3,197 individual and 48 institutional members, among whom there are over 1,300 university professors and lecturers from more than 20 countries).

There is a clear predominance of European members. In Italy, there was a particular German influence on what could be described as the introduction of the German Weimar Republic’s Labour Law and Labour Relations theory during the first stages of the Italian Pre-constitutional and Constitutional Republic after World War II.

The Verein publishes two journals, the German Economic Review, in English, and the Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik, in German. Both journals are published by Basil Blackwell.

Moreover, the Verein für Socialpolitik holds an annual meeting where the research output of its twenty three permanent research committees. One of these committees focuses on Social Policy and it is chaired by professor Viktor Steiner, form the Freie Universität Berlin. There is another committee devoted to Social Sciences, which is chaired by professor Bettina Rockenbach, from the University of Erfurt.

This association also awards three annual prizes: the Gossen-Award, the Gustav-Stolper-Award, and the Reinhard-Selten-Award.

Spain’s contribution towards Labour Relations and Social Policy is somewhat more modest than that of other countries’ and infinitely less significant than Germany’s, but there are not only nationally renown scientific authorities but also those who have international recognition in Latin America and in some European countries. Once it was written, but without having been published, that there were seven founding fathers of Labour Relations in Spain; at least, it has been heard many a time. As far as we are concerned, the founders of research in Labour Relations in
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37 There is an overview article on the subject, but –we dare say– with extremely poor information. Its author is Umberto Romagnoli, “Weimar e il diritto del lavoro in Italia”, in Lavoro e Diritto, XXIV, No. 2 (2010), pp. 181-190. Because of its title, the article seems very promising but, in the end, does not contribute much.
41 See webpage. [On line: http://www.uni-erfurt.de/mikrooekonomie/sozialwissenschaftlicher-ausschuss/].
Spain are not seven but twelve. To the seven fathers mentioned above Josep Maluquer i Salvador (1863-1931)\textsuperscript{42}, Maximiliano Arboleya Martínez (1870-1951)\textsuperscript{43}, Álvaro López Núñez (1865-1936)\textsuperscript{44}, Eugenio Pérez Botija (1911-1966)\textsuperscript{45}, Pedro Sangro Ros de Olano (1878-1959)\textsuperscript{46}, Alexandre Gallart i Folch (1893-1972)\textsuperscript{47}, and Manuel Alonso Olea (1924-2003)\textsuperscript{48}, we should add Severino Aznar Embid (1870-1959)\textsuperscript{49}, Gaspar Bayón Chacón (1909-1979)\textsuperscript{50}, Luis Olariaga Pujana (1885-1976)\textsuperscript{51}, Juan Uña Sarthou (1871-1948)\textsuperscript{52}, and Severino Eduardo Sanz Escartín (1855-1939)\textsuperscript{53}. It should also be noted that, despite their relationship with Social Science, Sociology and, particularly, Labour Law, we cannot count the following characters among the founders of Labour Relations in Spain: Juan Donoso-Cortés Ferrández (1809-1853), Enrique Gómez Arboleya (1910-1959), Inocencio Jiménez Vicente (1876-1941), Leopoldo Palacios Morini (1879-1952), Federico Rodríguez y Rodríguez (1917-2010), José Manuel Almansa Pastor (1938-1995), Efrén Borrajo Dacruz (b. 1928), Manuel Moix Martín (b. 1927), Jaime Algarra Postius (1879-1948), Manuel Alonso García (1926-1988), Juan Beneyto Pérez (1907-1994), who was awarded his PhD on 7\textsuperscript{th} July 1929, in the University of Bologna, and whose PhD thesis was entitled \textit{Contributi allo studio dei problema del lavoro nella storia del diritto...}

\textsuperscript{42} See José Luis Monereo Pérez, “José Maluquer Salvador (1863-1931)”, in \textit{Diccionario crítico de juristas españoles, portugueses y latinoamericanos (hispánicos, brasileños, quebequenses y restantes francófocos)}, Zaragoza-Barcelona, 2008, Part II, Vol. 1, pp. 479-487, No. 2,037. This outstanding theorist of Industrial Relations was Josep Joan Joaquim Maluquer de Tirrell’s son (1833-1915), who has been frequently mistaken for Joan Maluquer i Viladot (1856-1940). A useful reading is José Luis Monereo, \textit{Los orígenes de la Seguridad Social en España: José Maluquer y Salvador}, Granada, 2007.


\textsuperscript{44} Francisco Canes Garrido, “Álvaro López Núñez (1865-1936) y la protección a la infancia en España”. [On line: http://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/fichero_articulo?codigo=2963088&orden=0].


spagnolo con riferimento all'Italia (secolo XIII al XVIII), and not even Carlos García Oviedo (1884-1955).

When these new studies were designed in the Faculty of Political Science and Economics, in the University of Madrid, a new subject, Social Philosophy, was created. After some time, Salvador de Lisarrague Novoa (1910-1967) became the professor of that subject and its syllabus seemed to be more related to Sociology than to Social Philosophy. On the other hand, the syllabus proposed by the professor of the subject Social Policy, Federico Rodríguez y Rodríguez, which was taught in year three of the bachelor degree, had a content which matched the subject matter in the sixties of the last century. It set sail with the scientific objective of Social Policy until it reached the shore of the structure of society and social pluralism. Eugenio Pérez Botija, who was then professor of Labour Law and Social Security Institutions, used to teach a very long lesson about history which would start in the Ancient World, cover the Middle Ages, Modern Age and the peculiarities of the encomienda in Colonial American Law, and, then, it would introduce Liberalism, Socialism and social issues in Leo XIII’s thought, but it excluded Anarchism, Anarcho-syndicalism and Prince Piotr Alekséyevich Kropotkin’s (1842-1921) Anarcho-communism, which is so important in the History and Theory of Labour Relations. Pierre-Joseph Proudhon (1809-1865) is not mentioned either, even though he is a first-rate intellectual in the field of Social Science, who attacked Parliamentary Democracy and took a stance against universal suffrage, thus advocating Federalism in a centralist France. In the latter stance he coincided with Mikhail Aleksandrovitch Bakounine (1814-1876), whose libertarian Socialism led him to oppose universal suffrage because it favoured the Conservative ruling elite, thus supporting political Anarchy and that the union of the peasants and workers’ workforce would help achieve revolutionary goals.

Juan Beneyto Pérez (1907-1994) lectured History of Social Ideas and Movements in the first Faculty of Political Science in Spain. He would start off in Ancient Greece and finish in 1945, but he would also explain the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, in 1948, and devote three lessons to the History of Trade unionism.

At the same time in France, more specificity in the analysis of Labour Relations phenomena from a historical viewpoint has been observed. This has led to a number of prominent publications on this topic, such as the synthesis by G. Aubin and J. Bouveresse 54, Jean-Pierre Le Crom’s team 55, and N. Olszak 56, just to give a few examples. Le Crom himself used to lecture History of Labour Relations in the University of Aix-Marseille I, where he would start his study in the XIIth century, although very little attention was paid to the Old Regime, but he would even cover the consequences of May 68 in the labour scene in France. France has a very praiseworthy background in the study of the History of Labour Relations since E. Castelot, who was a member of the Political Economy Society, had translated in 1897 the voluminous book about the history of labour and wages in England by Thorold Rogers 57, who was an MP in the House of Commons and a professor of Political Economy at Oxford University, whose previous book on the Economic interpretation of History was extremely acclaimed scientifically and translated into French. In his work, Thorold Rogers studied the peasants’ social status in England in

the Middle Ages; the distribution of wealth, labour and wages in the XVI\textsuperscript{th} and XVII\textsuperscript{th} centuries; and, the Poor Law and the changes in the XVIII\textsuperscript{th} century.

Today, real experts in the History of Labour Relations and Social Policy seem difficult to find. Nevertheless, we would like to mention Chris Deeming, Lyn Craig, Elizabeth Adamson, Sheila Shaver, Violet Bacon and David Stanton in Australia; Alvin Finkel in Athabasca University, and Étienne Cantin and James D. Thwaites, who are both experts in the History of Labour Relations, in Laval University (Quebec), in Canada; and, in the United States, Alex Colvin, who is Chair in Labor Relations, Law & History, in the ILR School, in Cornell University, Mark J. Stern and Michael Katz (both in the University of Pennsylvania), James J. Callaghan and Tom Shapiro (Brandeis University), and, above all, David Robertson, who is associate editor of the \textit{Journal of Policy History}. In England, the most significant figure could be Kate Bradley, who lectures Social History and Social Policy, in the University of Kent.

Research groups, specialized postgraduate courses and periodicals devoted to research in Labour Relations can also be found in some English-speaking countries universities. The following are worth highlighting: in Australia, the University of Sydney offers a Master of Labour Law and Relations (MLLR), in the Law School, while the Business School has the Business & Labour History Group\textsuperscript{58} and offers a Master of Human Resource Management (HRM) and Industrial Relations (IR), and both the University of Adelaide and Monash University (Melbourne) publish journals and hold conferences and seminars on Labour Relations; and, the Canadian Committee on Labour History (CCLH), in Athabasca University, which researches and promotes all aspects of working-class and labour history, and publishes its own periodical, \textit{Journal of Canadian Labour Studies/Revue d'études Ouvrières Canadiennes}\textsuperscript{59}.

For further information, see the charts included below, which will be revised and updated in future articles. As for the introduction and charts regarding Social Policy, as it has been mentioned in the abstract above, they will be provided in the second part of this article.

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline
\textit{Recibido el 20 de diciembre de 2010, corregido del 21 al 23 de diciembre de 2010 y aceptado el 24 de diciembre de 2010.} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textsuperscript{58} For further information see webpage. [On line: http://sydney.edu.au/business/research/blhg].

\textsuperscript{59} See webpage. [On line: http://www.lltjournal.ca/index.php/llt].